

BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT FACTS

1. After speaking with Mr. Mansdorf almost daily, around [REDACTED], GONZALEZ lost complete communication with him.
2. GIACOMAZZA was controlling and abusing Mr. Mansdorf, operating outside of even MORIARTY.
3. Jeremy Bohnner, (a private investigator that MORIARTY had arranged for GONZALEZ to hire), made an appointment for March 8th, 2007, with Detective Dale Drummond and Sergeant Michael Coren of the Beverly Hills Police Department (“BHPD”).
4. The day before the scheduled meeting with them, GONZALEZ received a panic call from Mr. Mansdorf.
5. He was calling out to his cousin/doctor David.
6. GONZALEZ could hear a loud voice in the background yell out “Who You Talking To Harry?” at which point Harry hung up.
7. The voice was clearly GIACOMAZZA.
8. GONZALEZ placed a 911 emergency call to the Beverly Hills Police Department, (“BHPD”).
9. Within minutes from calling The BHPD to report his concerns, GONZALEZ received a call from the department informing me that Mildred’s dead body was found on arrival.
10. This was eerily similar to the death of Norman Mansdorf.
11. Norman Mansdorf was found dead on February 5th, 2004, in outside in the backyard, here again discovered by GIACOMAZZA.
12. Mr. Mansdorf directly stated to GONZALEZ, “Giacomazza came in from in from outside stating, ‘Harry, your brother’s not breathing’”.

13. Norman Mansdorf had a lump on the back of his head, but no autopsy was completed.
14. Same scenario with Mildred Mansdorf in 2007: GIACOMAZZA came down from upstairs stating, "Harry, your sister's not breathing".
15. Mildred Mansdorf had a lump on her forehead, but no autopsy was completed.
16. The death/murder of his remaining siblings left only Mr. Mansdorf, together with his wife¹, Linda Mansdorf, whom he was closely associated with since 1962
17. During the GIACOMAZZA's appeal, the Second District Court of Appeals Division 1 Judge Robert Johnson, considered the suspicious circumstances surrounding both of Harry's sibling's death's, and directly asked GIACOMAZZA's attorney Gerald Philip Peters (SBN 101212); "Isn't it interesting timing of the deaths of the siblings in coordination with GIACOMAZZA's presence at the house?"
18. The fact there is no mention whatsoever of GIACOMAZZA in both Mildred Mansdorf and Norman Mansdorf's BHPD Death Incident Reports remains a mystery to GONZALEZ.
19. On receiving word from BHPD of Mildred Mansdorf's death, GONZALEZ drove to Mr. Mansdorf's house where GONZALEZ was met by Officer Perez and GIACOMAZZA at the gate to his Mother's
20. Officer Perez asked GONZALEZ what the file in his hand was about.
21. GONZALEZ informed Officer Perez that it was a case just filed against the man standing next to him, (GIACOMAZZA).

¹ Married April 10, 2006.

22. Perez requested the file, GONZALEZ handed it to him, and as he flipped through it he commented that “they had seen that shit before”.

23. The Officers had just concluded their investigation of Mildred Mansdorf's death-scene and were about to leave when GONZALEZ asked Officer Perez what he meant by “they had seen that shit before”.

24. Officer Perez was outraged and responded by yelling “Just Who in the F*** do you think you are?”

25. GONZALEZ explained to the officers how an appointment with their detective bureau had coincidentally been set for the day following Mildred Mansdorf's death, to go over concerns for Harry's safety.

26. Officer Perez was not interested and walked away with no further comment.

27. Following Mildred Mansdorf's death, to GONZALEZ's surprise, LASC case No. BC366206, (the case against GIACOMAZZA) was set to be dismissed in an upcoming case management hearing.

28. CHAVEZ and SCHWEIZER had voluntarily dismissed the case that GONZALEZ had funded to the tune of \$165,000 to remove GIACOMAZZA from Mr. Mansdorf's life.

29. GONZALEZ presented himself at the hearing, before Judge Mary Thornton House with attorney Martin J. Kirwan (SBN 23386), (“KIRWIN”).

30. KIRWAN was one of four attorneys who had represented Marilyn Mansdorf against RHOADES and Harry, but based upon the facts adduced in that case, KIRWAN saw the fraud and abuse being perpetrated upon Mr. Mansdorf, and KIRWAN offered to help me save the case which was in danger of being dismissed.

31. While on record, GONZALEZ explained to Judge Mary Thornton House that GONZALEZ funded the case and had just fired attorney SCHWEIZER who had been properly noticed of the hearing and had failed to show up.

32. Judge House asked if any of the 21 defendants had been served, to which GONZALEZ replied “No, Your Honor”.

33. Judge House allowed for the case to continue and asked GONZALEZ to file a motion to void the dismissal of the case.

34. On July 23rd, 2007, KIRWAN filed a 196 page NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO VACATE/VOID ORDER OF DISSMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE, OF LASC CASE NO. BC366206 ENTERED ON APRIL 30th, 2007.

35. The motion contained several exhibits which included threatening emails from CHAVEZ and a Statement of Fact (Exhibit “1”) that Mr. Mansdorf had signed on July 7th, 2007, (the day GONZALEZ met Mrs. Linda Mansdorf) indicating he was in agreement with GONZALEZ’s actions to save and move forward with the case.

36. At that time, GONZALEZ learned that Tony Ramos was in fact CHAVEZ, a disbarred attorney.

37. A majority of these facts are detailed in the motion to void the voluntary dismissal of BC366206, which Judge House granted, reinstating the case, and additionally ordering leave to amend.

38. On December 14th, 2007, GONZALEZ filed a 950 page (Four Volumes) Amended Complaint for LASC Case No. BC366206.

39. Marilyn Mansdorf, (whom GONZALEZ befriended in effort to understand what was going on), provided GONZALEZ with crucial documents and agreed to testify on Mr. Mansdorf's behalf in an effort to bring justice.

40. Unbeknownst to Mr. Mansdorf, Marilyn Mansdorf had taken a position as a plaintiff in his complaint against all those responsible for this terrible injustice.

41. Marilyn Mansdorf's role in the case would support the tort causes of action such as undue influence and elder abuse alleged in the complaint which GONZALEZ believed to be the most significant at the time.

42. GONZALEZ's investigation included meeting with each attorney who had represented Marilyn Mansdorf in BC316011.

43. None of these meetings ended pleasantly.

44. Weeks prior to filing the amended complaint, GONZALEZ received a call from Officer George Elwell of the BHPD to inform GONZALEZ that Harry lodged a complaint alleging harassment.

45. GONZALEZ did his best to explain to officer Elwell, how Mr. Mansdorf had been coerced into signing over title to his multi-million dollar Beverly Hills home, (the ALTA HOME) for a mere \$25,000.00 (twenty five thousand) and how GIACOMAZZA and his daughter had taken a \$2,500,000 million fraudulent loan against the ALTA HOME.

46. GONZALEZ realized he was beating a dead horse when he laid out for officer Elwell how Mr. Mansdorf had also been stripped of his family's 1,300 acres of Malibu property, and Officer Elwell visually appeared absolutely disinterested.

47. Replying to GONZALEZ's pleas, Officer Elwell told GONZALEZ, that if he went to Mr. Mansdorf's home one more time or called him on the phone, Officer Elwell would arrest him, and this is documented.

48. Months later, GONZALEZ received a call from officer Elwell, asking if he could come into the station to address a few questions regarding MORIARTY.

49. GONZALEZ agreed to come in, however, the following day, wary of the situation, he decided to hold off.

50. Officer Elwell then sent two BHPD officers to GONZALEZ's Mother's home in Downey.

51. GONZALEZ invited the officers in, showed them the mountain of evidence GONZALEZ had and they were speechless.

52. The officers politely excused themselves from GONZALEZ's mother's home.

53. GONZALEZ responded to Officer Elwell's call and these actions by adding the Beverly Hills Police Department as a defendant to the subject case alleging interference (BC366206).

54. On January 31st, 2008, Mr. Mansdorf, under pressure from GIACOMAZZA and his attorney Alfred Keep (SBN 32192), ("KEEP"), stood in front of Judge House and told Judge House that GONZALEZ was crazy and a liar and that the case, LASC Case No. BC366206, should be dismissed.

55. GONZALEZ did his best to save the case by having retained (\$5,000.00) attorney Raymond Walter Newman (SBN 54221), ("NEWMAN") to represent Marylin Mansdorf on that day in that motion.

56. Judge House ordered NEWMAN to take a seat in the audience, stating “Mr. Newman you're not officially in this case”.

57. NEWMAN had apparently failed to file, or simply hand the court clerk a substitution of attorney.

58. Immediately after court ended, Mr. Mansdorf apologized to GONZALEZ as he walked GONZALEZ to his car upon leaving the courtroom that day explaining that he had caved in to the pressure from GIACOMAZZA.

59. For the record, Attorney KEEP not only represented Mr. Mansdorf in Marilyn Mansdorf's case but also represented Arlene Gatto, Giacomazza's wife, in her divorce with GIACOMAZZA.

60. About a week after BC366206 was dismissed, GONZALEZ received a call from Mr. Mansdorf's wife Linda Mansdorf, who started her call by stating, “I don't know if I'm being a bad wife or doing the right thing, but of all the people Mr. Mansdorf has come in contact with, you're the only one who he believes has helped him.”

61. GONZALEZ met with Mr. Mansdorf days after he spoke to his wife Linda Mansdorf and put him on the phone with Marilyn Mansdorf to clear the air between them, such as Mr. Mansdorf's misconception that Marilyn Mansdorf owned hundreds of properties that LEE may have arranged for her.

62. Marilyn Mansdorf was broke after her lawsuit against RHOADES and Mr. Mansdorf.

63. With Mr. Mansdorf's wife's support, calling it a “united front”, all agreed to a peace in order to help GONZALEZ get to the bottom of what exactly happened.

64. The Mansdorf family fortune had disappeared; Mr. Mansdorf was essentially broke, and aside from the immediate Giacomazza issue, RHOADES was the individual Mr. Mansdorf suspected of stealing, at the least, land and money.

65. A few weeks after Mr. Mansdorf had dismissed BC366206, and with Mr. Mansdorf's approval, GONZALEZ edited the case down substantially and targeted GIACOMAZZA, his daughter Kathryn Gatto and Chevy Chase Bank.

66. The facts were that Kathryn Gatto had fraudulently obtained a first position note in deed of trust for \$2,500,000 million dollars against the Mansdorf's Beverly Hills home.

67. On February 22nd, 2008, GONZALEZ took Mr. Mansdorf to the Los Angeles Superior Court to file a civil complaint entitled, Mr. Mansdorf vs. Michele Giacomazza Et. Al., under LASC Case No BC385946.

68. On January 6, 2010, Judge Palmer entered judgment quieting title to the Malibu Property and the Beverly Hills home against the Giacomazza Defendants and in favor of the Mansdorf Family Trust.

69. No prosecution for any crimes was ever conducted against Giacomazza or his daughter, nor was anything done regarding the inaction of the Beverly Hills Police Department.

ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMPLAINT FACTS AND SECOND REQUEST FOR HELP FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT

1. On ___, Gonzalez contacted the Beverly Hills Police Department again, this time regarding the McClanahan situation which had caused the sheriff's sale of the Beverly Hills home.

2. Mr. Gonzalez explained that Cacciatore, Sottile and McClanahan used an unrecorded, fraudulent deed as the basis for a fraudulent lawsuit against the late Harry Mansdorf, which they then obtained a default judgment in the amount of \$12,000,000 using.

3. The detectives proceeded to:

WRITE WHAT THEY SAID

4. On ___, Gonzalez filed APS complaints against Thomas Cacciatore, Timothy Sottile and Janice M. McClanahan. The claim:

WRITE IN THE CLAIMS

5. On ___, Gonzalez spoke with Barbara Krueger of the Los Angeles County Adult Protective Services Unit.

6. Ms. Krueger informed Mr. Gonzalez that:

WRITE IN WHAT SHE SAID

7. On or about ___, Ms. Krueger forwarded the APS complaints to the Beverly Hills

8. On or about ___, Gonzalez again went to the Beverly Hills Police Department to follow up on his original complaint and the Adult Protective Services complaints.

9. Gonzalez met with officers ___, ___ and ___.

10. They discussed:

WHAT WAS DISCUSSED

11. The end result was: WRITE THE END RESULT

12. On or about ___, Officers/Detectives ___ and ___ traveled to the Beverly Hills home to meet with Mrs. Mansdorf.

13. Mrs. Mansdorf provided a certified copy of the civil complaint and the judgment from the McClanahan civil case.

14. Officer/Detective ___ accepted the documents.

15. They questioned her about the Trust assets, money coming in, and whether or not she knew the things Gonzalez was doing and the position he held regarding Trust assets.

16. Mrs. Mansdorf informed them she was completely in the know on everything Gonzalez was doing. Further, she questioned why they were looking for “dirt” on Gonzalez instead of interviewing her about the crimes which had been committed that caused her home to be sold at a Sheriff’s Sale and required her to pay \$10,000 per month to keep on appeal.

17. Prior to the officers/detectives leaving, Mrs. Mansdorf requested a receipt for the documents she provided.

18. At that time, officer/detective ___ handed her documents back, claiming they would get their own copies.

19. The detectives/officers then left in shame.

20. Between ____ and ____, Gonzalez repeatedly inquired with the Beverly Hills Police Department of the status of the claims.

21.

THE SECOND ROUND, McCLANAHAN & ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES