OVERVIEW OF THE MANSDORF CONSPIRACY
Updated February 3, 2015

A. ASSETS

1. 1000+ Acres in La Tuna Canyon

- 300 Acres entitled to build 218 homes in a private, gated community with an equestrian center and 167 acres of open space.
- 650 Acres donated to the Desert Mountains Conservation Authority, a hybrid agency created by the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy.
- Unknown Acreage where Joe (Yousef Mikhail) and Taimoor Bidari are trying to build.
- Southland Nursery; business operating on parcel 2562-006-009.
- Verdugo Hills Golf Course, currently owned by Snowball, Inc., who is believed to have obtained the money from Whitebird, Inc., who itself used Mansdorf property to obtain said money.
- Various other parcels held in various other names.

2. 1300-2000 Acres in Malibu/Ventura County, California

- 1,291 Acres, (34 Parcels) affected by the forged Sheriff's Deed to County Line Holdings, LLC, (Howard Weinberg). The Sheriff's Sale purchaser paid 500K
- 400 Acres believed to have been stolen and sold by the "Malibu 400" to the United States Government back in 1982.
- 8 homes built in or toward the edge of Mansdorf property – survey required to determine if they are on Mansdorf property.
- A Deed of Trust for 1.6M to the Quinn Emmanuel law firm
- Various other parcels held in various other names.

3. Beverly Hills Mansion

- Harrys' rights title and interest Sold at a Sheriff's Sale.
- Sheriff's Sale purchaser successfully filed an Unlawful Detainer Complaint, obtained a judgment and writ of execution.
- The judgment and execution of the writ are stayed while the case is up on appeal, but the court required a $10K per month payment.
- A single Note and Deed of Trust for $250,000 (which has not been recorded) is still outstanding.

4. Pacific Coast Lessor Inc.

- This is a leasing company which holds a 50 year grant easement on the Malibu Property for the purposes of leasing out cell tower land to cell phone companies and profits around $70K per year from cell tower leases.
- Also the company paid when any and all filming is done on the property.
- This company was owned by Lee Mansdorf, and should have been transferred to the Trust upon Lee Mansdorf's death based upon Lee's pour-over will.
- Rufus Rhoades stole the company and all its assets and revenues by simply adding his wife on at the Secretary of State level as the "Secretary" and not turning over any documents, information or profits to Harry Mansdorf, as Trustee of the Trust.

5. Apartment Complex in San Diego

- Unknown how large, being controlled by additional individuals and entities down there, linked with a woman masquerading as trustee for the Mansdorf Family Trust down in Florida.
- We are gathering proof, will be ready to present by April.

6. **<u>775,000 Acres in Venezuela</u>**
   - Hugo Chavez made the deal with Lee and Harry Mansdorf – they traded him four airplanes for the land.
   - Lee was later kicked out of the country by Chavez for donating 10,000 acres of the land to the native/Indian population there.
   - Is an international issue and may be difficult to even engage with the Venezuelan Government. Team is gathering proof.

7. **<u>$100M in Various Stock</u>**
   - Lee Mansdorf wrote down each stock and the amount on his last will and testament. He repeatedly signed the will six different times, constantly re-affirming it.
   - The list is currently with a forensic expert who was recommended by the Probate Attorneys. Unknown timeframe. Requires additional assistance.

8. **<u>1000 acres in Glendale California.</u>**
   - This is tentative, documents showing a partial interest in the property have been uncovered, but no further documents have been sought at this time due to time and financial and time constraints.

9. **<u>Filming Money in Malibu.</u>**
   - Hundreds of commercials have been filmed with the Mansdorf land in Malibu as the backdrop, but no money has been paid to the Mansdorfs for this.
   - Records searches on all permits issued and a list of companies and commercials are required at this point to determine the extent of the money involved.

B. <u>PARTICIPANTS:</u>

i. <u>INDIVIDUALS</u>

a) The Mansdorfs & Klapp:

1. <u>Max Mansdorf</u>
   - Father of all the Mansdorf Children, Head of the Family.
2. <u>Sadie Mansdorf</u>
   - Mother of all the Mansdorf Children, Head when her and Max Split around 1950, Settlor of the Trust, deposited the Beverly Hills Mansion into the Trust in 1967.
3. <u>Mildred Mansdorf</u>
   - Oldest Sister, Settlor of the Trust
   - Believed to have been murdered by Michele Giacomazza in March 2007.
4. <u>Lee Mansdorf</u>
   - Oldest Brother, Settlor of the Trust, Original Trustee of the Trust.
   - Died under suspicious circumstances in 2003
   - Believed by his brother Harry Mansdorf to have been poisoned by Attorney Rufus Rhoades.
   - Medical Records support the poisoning claim, but not the identity of the poisoner.
5. <u>Harry Mansdorf</u>
   - Next Brother, Settlor of the Trust, First Successor Trustee of the Trust.
   - Passed away August 27, 2012.
6. <u>Fred Mansdorf</u>
   - Younger brother, passed away earlier with no real involvement in the trust.

7. Norman Mansdorf
   - Next Brother
   - Believed to have been murdered by Michele Giacomazza in February 2004.
8. Marylin Mansdorf
   - Wife of Lee Mansdorf for a time.
   - Sued Rufus, Mildred, Norman and Harry (individually and Harry as Trustee of the trust), lost the suit, then worked with Harry Mansdorf to unwind the fraud
   - Died under suspicious circumstances in 2012, right after providing Mr. Gonzalez an entire box of extremely pertinent and previously undisclosed documents pertaining to Rufus Rhoades, Susan Rhoades, the Walkemplue Trust, Richard Percell and the theft of the La Tuna Canyon property.
9. Leo (Lee) Klapp
   - Cousin of Lee and Harry Mansdorf, Acquired one of the parcels at issue in the McClanahan $12M default judgment case, then encumbered that parcel with a Deed of Trust favoring the California Department of Transportation in 1979.
   - 1995 Leo signed a quit claim deed favoring Lee Mansdorf and in 1996 the Department of Transportation reconveyed the property to "person or persons entitled thereto," which was Lee Mansdorf, trustee of the Mansdorf Family Revocable Trust.
   - The CA Dept. of Transportation provided a Title Report and other documentation all dated in 1996.

b) The 80s Issues:

10. Wallace Patrick Moriarty
    - Initial mastermind of the plot to steal the Mansdorf property.
    - Convicted for fraud and political corruption on a massive scale in the mid-1980s.
    - While masquerading as "Leon Brukman," he convinced Lee to transfer all the property in La Tuna Canyon into a fake company, Del Rio Development, Inc., by claiming Lee was the sole shareholder, and that he would buy out Lee's shares in the short future.
    - Moriarty signed for Del Rio Development, Inc. as the "President" along with former Lee Mansdorf attorney Henry L. Bear, (as "Secretary"), on a $1.25M loan from California Canadian Bank.
    - Encumbering the property in La Tuna Canyon with millions in fraudulent loans as Brukman.
    - Lee Mansdorf later claimed, "I lost all my property to the flim flam man".
11. Leon Brukman
    - The alias Moriarty used when he tricked Lee Mansdorf into transferring the La Tuna property into Del Rio.
    - Signed for Del Rio as the President on a multitude of loans totaling millions from the Protective Equity Trust companies and the AFF Partnership companies.
    - Lee Mansdorf sued Leon Brukman, but the fact that Leon Brukman was really Moriarty was literally scrubbed from the County Court records.
    - The court file on the Brukman case and several others, including Susan Tompkins, have been obviously "scrubbed".
12. Del Rio Development, Inc.
    - Fake company used by Moriarty as himself and while he posed as Leon Brukman to fraudulently encumber then steal the La Tuna Canyon property.
    - However, a one "Jack Del Rio" out of Hayward, California, began a Del Rio Development, Inc., in 1986 – *after* Lee's transfer of the property to Del Rio, *and* Moriarty/Brukman's encumbrances.
    - We have attempted to make contact but have not been successful yet.
13. Susan Tompkins

- Broker between Leon Brukman (Moriarty) and Lee Mansdorf in the Del Rio Development, Inc., transaction.

14. Attorney Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998
    - Prominent tax attorney
    - Passed the bar number in 1962
    - Mansdorf Family Trust attorney between 1980 and 2003.
    - He knew Moriarty was Brukman, utilized his legal ability to maintain the Del Rio Development, Inc., fraud in several different courts for over a decade.
    - The entire time, he was plotting and working to steal Trust money and assets.
    - Main culprit behind the 1996 theft of $850k and the entire La Tuna Canyon property.
    - Main culprit behind the complete theft of Pacific Coast Lessor, Inc., and all its assets.
15. Attorney Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN 31525
    - Attorney for Lee Mansdorf and Del Rio during the first bankruptcy, attorney for Joseph Hofman and Del Rio in the third bankruptcy, (the second Del Rio bankruptcy).
    - He is the first of two main reasons the bankruptcy rulings are so confusing.
    - He was actively working against Lee Mansdorf and Trust assets though he actively represented both.
16. Attorney Henry L. Bear, SBN 19789 - Deceased.
    - One of the attorneys for Lee Mansdorf and Del Rio during the first bankruptcy *but also an active business partner of Moriarty*.
    - He signed as Secretary of Del Rio (with Moriarty signing as President) on the Deed of Trust to California Canadian Bank for $1.25M.
    - He signed as Secretary of La Tuna Properties, LTD on a transfer from La Tuna Properties, LTD *back to* Del Rio.
    - Attorney Bear is the second of the two main reasons the bankruptcy rulings are so confusing.
17. La Tuna Properties, LTD
    - Yet another company Moriarty and Bear used to try and steal the property.
    - La Tuna Properties, LTD was on the California Canadian Bank Note but Del Rio was on the Deed because La Tuna Properties, LTD was the later claimed sole shareholder of Del Rio, (when such was supposed to be Lee Mansdorf) and this is how Moriarty and Bear were going to steal all the property in La Tuna Canyon.
    - Moriarty is the Registered Agent for this company, which began in 1984, and is still active and in good standing with the California Secretary of State.
18. Joseph Hofman
    - Fake president of Del Rio on the third bankruptcy, (second Del Rio bankruptcy) in 1986.
    - Potentially involved in the theft of property in Malibu as "Hoffman".
19. Donald D. Hensel
    - President of General Securities Corporation, (the General Partner of all the Protective Equity Trust companies, and the "Trustee" on all the Protective Equity Trust Deeds of Trust).
    - signed on all the Notices of Default, Notices of Trustee's Sale and Trustee's Deeds upon sale.
    - Came back in the 90s and signed the reconveyances from General Securities Corporation to the various Protective Equity Trust Companies, and then the Grant Deeds to Whitebird and others while acting as "President" of the various Protective Equity Trust companies and General Securities Corporation.
    - Intimately involved with the original clouds and the theft of La Tuna Canyon in 1996.
20. Keith Card, (Richard Raymond Keith) – Deceased.
    - Close personal friend of Moriarty and accomplice in Moriarty's political corruption scam/scandal as Richard Raymond Keith.

- As "Keith Card," Richard Raymond Keith is the Grantee on the Trustee's Deed from AFF Partnership #2816A & #2816B, who obtained a lien interest from Del Rio, who obtained the same from Susan Tompkins, who fraudulently obtained the same from Lee Mansdorf as Trustee of the Trust.
- Keith Card is the Grantor on a 1988 Grant Deed of two parcels of real property to Janice McClanahan, then the Grantor on 1992 Deed of those same two parcels, plus two more of real property to Lee Mansdorf as Trustee of the Mansdorf Family Trust in 1992.

21. <u>Janice McClanahan</u>
    - 20 year girlfriend of Lee Mansdorf; Grantee on the Keith Card 1988 Grant Deed; Grantor on the first Duke Development Agreement.
    - Grantor on the 2003 Grant Deed to Harry Mansdorf, Trustee of the Lee Mansdorf Family Revocable Trust, dated July 2001.
    - Plaintiff on the $12M default judgment against Harry.
    - Judgment Creditor who forced the sale of the Beverly Hills mansion.
    - Judgment Creditor attempting to force the sale of all of Malibu even after Attorney Torjesen purportedly already sold it to County Line Holdings, LLC, (Howard Weinberg). Subject of the current affidavits and search for title expert.
    - Affidavits requesting her criminal prosecution are drafted.

c) <u>The 90s Issues:</u>

22. <u>Guillermo (Bill) Morgan</u>
    - Brought in to assist with the theft of property in 1996.
    - Grantee in a Grant Deed from AFF Partnership #2995 and a Grantor Grant Deed to Whitebird, Inc. On April 24, 1996.
    - AFF Partnership #2995 executed a Grant Deed to Guillermo (Bill) Morgan of five (5) La Tuna Canyon parcel numbers.
    - April 30, 1996, Guillermo (Bill) Morgan, executed a Grant Deed to Whitebird of twenty-six (26) La Tuna Canyon parcels numbers, rather than the five (5) Morgan is purported to have received from AFF Partnership #2995.
23. <u>Susan P. Rhoades</u>
    - Wife of Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, Trustee of the Walkemplue Trust who fraudulently stole $850,000 in the 1996 scam on the Trust.
    - Her husband, Attorney Rufus Rhoades, also substituted her in as the "Secretary" on Pacific Coast Lessor after Lee Mansdorf passed away in 2003.
    - She is the Petitioner in a currently pending *divorce* case between her and Attorney Rhoades, wherein Attorney Rhoades is claiming Pacific Coast Lessor assets as his own.
24. <u>Linda Thomas</u>
    - President of Whitebird, a Nevada Corporation.
    - Principle involved in the Whitebird theft of La Tuna Canyon property.
    - She is always found signing in Texas.
25. <u>Richard Percell</u>
    - Long-time associate of Lee Mansdorf who was *supposed* to be securing the entitlements in La Tuna for Lee Mansdorf.
    - Instead, he acted as Agent for Whitebird, (together with Attorney Rhoades), and assisted in the theft of the La Tuna Property by Whitebird, and thereafter entitled the property for Whitebird.
    - He is still the principle of Whitebird and the moving force behind the 650 acre transfer/218 home development agreement in La Tuna Canyon.

d) <u>The Judges and Attorneys Involved:</u>

i. <u>The Judges</u>

26. Judge Irving S. Feffer
    - Presided over the following cases involving Mansdorf:
        a. LASC Case No. BC316011, *Marylin Mansdorf v. Rufus Rhoades, et al.*
        b. LASC Case No. BC363659, *McClanahan v. Harold Mansdorf, et al.*
        c.
    - At least 5/1/2007 to 12/10/2007.
    - Granted Order to Publish Statement of Damages 7/24/2007 – while he was still presiding in the Marylin Mansdorf case and viewed Harry Mansdorf, represented by counsel, *repeatedly* daily.
    - Ordered no notice be sent to Harry Mansdorf regarding the two continuances of the default hearing.
27. Judge Phillip J. Argento
    - Second judge on McClanahan.
    - Stepped in due to Feffer being unavailable for unknown reasons. Recused himself because him and Sottile's dad are "best friends," then passed the case to Judge Shook "by agreement of the court," when Court Rules in Los Angeles required a transfer to the Presiding Judge or the Default Department.
    - After recusing himself, he was no longer able to make any judicial "agreements".
28. (Retired) Judge John P. Shook
    - Third Judge on McClanahan who wrongfully agreed with Judge Argento that he should get the case.
    - Judge Shook actually entered the 12M Default Judgment, with $10M in punitive damages, with the fake deed presented as the evidentiary basis.
    - The transcript reveals no questioning regarding the factual or evidentiary support for the damage award.
    - Shook retired in 2011 and now works as a mediator at ARC alongside Timothy B. Sottile's father, Bruce J. Sottile.
29. Judge Abraham Kahn
    - Fourth Judge on McClanahan
    - Denied the 473(b) motion 3/15/2010.
    - In part, his ruling wrongfully relied upon Evidence Code § 647 to say the process server's claims of personal service of the summons and complaint were presumptively correct.
    - Wrongfully because the process server was not registered and therefore not covered under § 647.
    - Wrongfully because this led to Judge Kahn assuming jurisdiction rather than deciding jurisdiction as he was required to do.
    - Judge Kahn denied the ex parte 473(d) motion 5/9/2012.
    - Upon the motion of McClanahan's next attorney, David M. Marcus, Judge Kahn Granted McClanahan's "Order to Sell" the Beverly Hills home.
    - Finally, Judge Kahn denied the ex parte application to stop the sale.
30. Judge Matthew St. George
    - Fifth Judge on McClanahan
    - Denied the ex parte application to vacate the 12M default judgment on 5/9/2012.
31. Judge Carolyn B. Kuhl
    - Sixth Judge on McClanahan – denied motion to set aside all void orders under 473(d) on 6/21/2012.

- Claimed all the fraud was “intrinsic” and therefore it should have been litigated before. Ignored the arguments the Shook was judicially disqualified.
- Claimed no due process violations occurred and the court was not required to prove it had decided jurisdiction.
- Reassigned the case 7/9/2012.

32. <u>Judge Mary H. Strobel</u>
    a. LASC Case No. BC389522, filed ___ – *McClanahan v. Harry Mansdorf, et. al. – Recused herself on 4/25/08, reassigned to Judge Ernest M. Hiroshige, Department 54*
    b.

- Married to attorney David M. Marcus (McClanahan Attorney), voluntarily stepped down in a previous case involving Harry Mansdorf, (Marylin Mansdorf case or Janice McClanahan case, one of the two).
- When Gonzalez filed a defamation of title case against Alta Standard One and moved to relate that case with the Unlawful Detainer case, Judge Strobel ruled the cases were not related.
- Mr. Gonzalez finally learned of Judge Strobel’s active marriage to Attorney Marcus and he filed a recusal motion.
- Her ruling the cases were not related allowed the Unlawful Detainer court to move forward, further requiring Mrs. Linda Mansdorf and Mr. Gonzalez to come up with $10,000 each and every month to hold the Unlawful Detainer Court writ of execution on stay pending an appeal.
- Such would not have happened if the cases were appropriately ruled related.

33. <u>Judge H. J. Ford</u>

- Unlawful Detainer Judge.
- Though he considered the case one of “first impression,” against Alta and Mr. Gonzalez’s arguments, Judge Ford decided he must determine “title” to determine possession, proceeded to determine the title as being vested in Alta and wiped out Jaime’s rights and title in the Alta Home.
- He then ordered Alta Standard One entitled to possession, issued a stay of enforcement of that judgment pending appeal, but predicated that stay on payments of $10,000 per month to be paid <u>*directly to Alta Standard One, LLC, not to the Court*</u>.
- Judge Ford later admitted he was wrong at a subsequent motion to lift the stay by Alta.
- At the hearing evidence was produced showing Alta used the $10K per month to hire an unscrupulous investigator who illegally tricked Mrs. Linda Mansdorf into allowing him to photograph a “certified” copy of her marriage certificate – a type of copy the general public is not able to obtain.
- His initial ruling is on appeal, and he has refused to order the $70,000 wrongfully paid to Alta Standard One to be deposited into the court, where it rightfully should have gone in the first place.

34. <u>Judge Ernest M. Hiroshige</u>
    a. LASC Case No. BC385946, filed ___ - *McClanahan v. Mansdorf, et. al.* – case ruled related with LASC Case No. EC385946 on 12/24/08
35. <u>Judge John Shepard Wiley Jr.</u>
    a. LASC Case No. BC385946, filed ___ -

ii. The Attorneys

36. <u>Alfred R. Keep, SBN: 032192</u>
    a. LASC Case No. BC316011, *Marylin Mansdorf v. Rufus Rhoades, Harry Mansdorf, Michele Giacomazza.*
    b. LASC Case No. BC366206, filed ___ - *Lee Mansdorf, et al., v. Michele Giacomazza, et al.*

- Attorney Keep requested the dismissal of the initial case against Giacomazza on December 12, 2007, attempting to give Giacomazza all the Malibu property

- Attorney Keep also dismissed the suit against Giacomazza, claiming to be Harry Mansdorf's attorney.

37. Thomas Cacciatorie, Esq.
    - Attorney for Janice McClanahan during her 12M default judgment lawsuit, committed fraud upon the Court in pressing the obviously fraudulent deed and publishing in a minimally circulated paper to ensure Mr. Mansdorf never learned of the suit.
    - Potentially was working with Michele Giacomazza at the time, as Giacomazza acted as Harry Mansdorf, received McClanahan's summons and complaint, and kept it from Mr. Mansdorf.
    - Attorney Cacciatorie is listed on the first abstract of judgment recorded in Los Angeles.
    - He fought the 473(b) motion to set aside the default judgment and fought the 473(d) motion to vacate a void judgment, wherein it was stated that if Mr. Mansdorf had actively litigated, any instances of alleged fraud, "would have been discovered."
    - Attorney Cacciatorie is still attempting to steal the property in Malibu using the fraudulent judgment, and still fighting on the appeal of the 473(d) appeal.
38. Timothy B. Sottile, Esq.
    - Attorney for Janice McClanahan during her 12M default judgment lawsuit with Attorney Cacciatorie.
    - He signed the original McClanahan complaint, requested the $12M Default, requested the Order for Publication of the Statement of Damages, and submitted multiple declarations from himself.
    - His actions mirror Attorney Cacciatorie above, but Attorney Sottile is on the *second* Abstract of judgment which added in "The Mansdorf Family Revocable Trust" as an entity who received service of the Abstract.
    - This was an attempt to steal more trust property in Malibu. His father and judge Shook currently work together as mediators with ARC.
39. David M. Marcus, Esq.
    - Attorney hired by Sottile and Cacciatorie to enforce the 12M default judgment of Janice McClanahan, was doing so, but at the time Attorney Kevin MacNamara spoke with him, Attorney Marcus had still never spoken to McClanahan.
    - Attorney Marcus is married to Judge Mary H. Strobel, and further filed the Declaration in support of Motion for Order to Sell the Beverly Hills Home.
    - Later, Strobel ruled the defamation of title case against the Sheriff's Sale purchaser by Mr. Gonzalez and the Unlawful Detainer case by the Sheriff's Sale purchaser against Mr. Gonzalez were NOT related.
    - Mr. Gonzalez then learned she was married to Attorney Marcus, moved for her to recuse herself, and Judge Strobel then recused herself. But her ruling stood, and the Sheriff's Sale Attorney Marcus orchestrated, (which both he and Judge Strobel profited from) was upheld. Marcus has since removed himself from the case.
40. Alfred Richard Keep, SBN: 32192
    - Attorney for Harry in *Marilyn Mansdorf v. Rufus Rhoades, "Harold" Mansdorf, et al* case. Prepared a judgment granting Malibu property to Giacomazza even though this was an Attorney Malpractice case – Judgment signed by Judge Irving Feffer.
    - Discovered by Jaime and corrected months later.
41. Attorney Richard Fine
    - Dis-barred Attorney who assisted Mr. Gonzalez in the beginning (2005-2006).
    - One of three responsible for the pleading deficiencies which resulted in the dismissal of the civil R.I.C.O. case.

- Required Mr. Gonzalez to sign an "amendment" to the Trust making himself and his wife "settlors" of the trust, (something legally impossible), and continually legally advised Mr. Gonzalez NOT to open probate.
- His basis: there was a Family Trust in place and no probate was required, that was what the Trust was for.

42. <u>Attorney Ari Lorin Markow, Esq., SBN: 186342</u>
    - Hired specifically to set McClanahan's $12M default judgment aside.
    - Mr. Mansdorf was in court dealing with another matter at the time, (the Giacomazza case) and Markow proceeded to talk settlement for months, resulting in the expiration of Mr. Mansdorf's six-month time to set the default aside.
    - Markow was fired, and then Attorney Paul Orloff and Bromberg focused on other pending legal matters for Mr. Mansdorf until the time to go after Markow had expired.
    - Once they did, Markow defeated the malpractice lawsuit on statute of limitations grounds. That's how Markow got away.
43. <u>Attorney John Robert Mullen, Esq., SBN: 137271</u>
    - Attorney on the 473(b) motion to vacate McClanahan Judgment.
    - Failed to argue extrinsic fraud, equity, a void judgment and jurisdictional issues.
44. <u>Attorney Paul Orloff, Esq.,</u>
    - Been on and off in the case for 5 years now.
    - Represented Harry Mansdorf on the §473(d) motion and representing Mrs. Mansdorf and Mr. Gonzalez, co-executors of Harry Mansdorf's estate, on the appeal of the same still pending.
    - Represented/Representing Mr. Gonzalez on the Unlawful Detainer Case, (Alta v. Gonzalez and Mansdorf); the Defamation of Title case, (Gonzalez v. Alta); the Torjesen case, (Torjesen v. Harry Mansdorf, et al); the Quiet Title case now pending in Ventura County, (County Line v. Gonzalez); on the 473(d) appeal of the McClanahan Default Judgment and filed a Declaration requesting the criminal prosecution of Howard Weinberg;
    - Is willing to file another declaration against Janice McClanahan and others.
    - Attorney Orloff holds intimate knowledge of the intricacies of this case.
    - Attorney Orloff failed to appeal the ruling on the third party claim, failed to move to set either of the Sheriff's Sales aside, and has missed two key appeal deadlines.
    - He has left the country four separate times while serious proceedings were taking place, and during each of those instances, issues arose in the active cases pending.
45. <u>Attorney Daniel Howard Bromberg, Esq., SBN: 242659</u>
    - Attorney on the appeal of the 473(b) motion to vacate McClanahan Judgment.
    - Waived a crucial issue at oral argument regarding the publication's requirement of being most likely to give actual notice to the party being served" to ensure Mr. Mansdorf lost the case.
    - The Appellate Court Judge Mosk felt compelled to write a separate opinion expressing that he believed Harry Mansdorf's constitutional rights had been violated.
46. <u>Law Firm Quinn, Emmanuel, Urquhart & Sullivan</u>
    - Firm on the appeal of the 473(d).
    - Waived a crucial issue at oral argument, intentionally tanking the case.
    - The Appellate Court Judge, Justice Mosk felt compelled to write a separate opinion expressing that he believed Harry Mansdorf's constitutional rights had been violated, and concern over the waiving of the issue by Mansdorf's counsel.
    - Nonetheless, the Quinn Emmanuel firm won a 250K payment for their services from the Arbitration board and holds a first note and deed of trust against Malibu for $1.6M.
    - They recently put a claim into the Probate Court.
47. <u>Attorney Elaine Etingoff, Esq., SBN: 89113</u>

- Lawyer on the failed Third Party Claim petition attempting to stop Torjesen from selling the Malibu Property.
- Submitted the faulty version of the Joint Ventura Agreement Grant Deed Exhibit *which Mr. Gonzalez previously sent to Torjesen* *<u>and which Mr. Gonzalez **never** sent to Attorney Etingoff. Meaning, **she obtained the document from Attorney Torjesen – the one Mr. Gonzalez was filing the Third Party Claim against.**</u>*
- She was also the attorney on the Quiet Title complaint filed against Alta Standard One, LLC. Mr. Gonzalez determined Attorney Etingoff was a criminal, at which point he voluntarily dismissed before he got denied and it would be res judicata.
- Attorney Etingoff was hired by Yousef Mikhail and Taimoor Bidari – two individuals holding the 80 or so acres in La Tuna which a ton of illegal grading has been done to.
- Mr. Mikhail is the contractor who owns the equipment which illegally graded the property and the alleged co-owner of the property with Mr. Bidari.
- Mr. Mikhail has repeatedly told Mr. Gonzalez to file his claims because Mikhail has title insurance and will get paid out.

48. <u>Attorney Kevin MacNamara, Esq., SBN: 279691</u>
    - Lawyer for Harry Mansdorf's RICO case and on the 473(d) motion to vacate McClanahan Judgment.
    - He filed the ex parte §473(d) which was summarily denied for failure to provide notice per statute.
    - Provided a sworn declaration regarding the criminal activity of Attorney Sottile, Cacciatorie and Marcus, and the potentially criminal activity of Attorney Rhoades and Mr. Richard Percell.
    - He has expressed an interest in filing an Amicus Curae brief in the Appellate Court, but he also misread the application of an intervening holiday as not being counted in the time to file a reconsideration, and blew the deadline to file such on the Defamation of title case against Alta.
49. <u>Attorney David Affeld, Esq.</u>
    - Century City Attorney employing Loren Beck, Esq., when they obtained a stay of the Writ of Execution out of the Unlawful Detainer Court.
    - Then he failed to appeal the Third Party Claim ruling on Malibu, tanked the defamation case with the wrong arguments and by sending in *another* attorney from the firm to argue.
    - Deficient information provided to the Court by his firm resulted in a vital document being sent back to the Court and almost caused the dismissal of the Unlawful Detainer Appeal.
    - When called upon his actions, he chose to disassociate from all Mansdorf cases rather than respond.
    - Mr. Gonzalez repeatedly requested he appeal the third party claim ruling on Malibu via email, but Attorney Affeld did not.
50. <u>Attorney Loren Beck, Esq.,</u>
    - Century City Attorney working with Attorney Affeld when they obtained a stay of the Writ of Execution out of the Unlawful Detainer Court.
    - Then he failed to appeal the Third Party Claim ruling on Malibu, tanked the defamation case with the wrong arguments and by sending in *another* attorney from the firm to argue.
    - Deficient information provided to the Court by his firm resulted in a vital document being sent back to the Court and almost caused the dismissal of the Unlawful Detainer Appeal.
    - When called upon his actions, he chose to disassociate from all Mansdorf cases rather than respond. Mr. Gonzalez repeatedly requested he appeal the third party claim ruling on Malibu via email, but Attorney Beck did not.
51. <u>Attorney Henry Dearing</u>

- Probate Attorney. Figured out the timing of Harry Mansdorf's passing was prior to Torjesen's execution lien being recorded resulting in the Probate Code and probate court "saving grace" avenue.
- Actively working to return the Malibu Property to the Trust.
- Recently correctly articulated the jurisdictional issue to the Ventura County Superior Court.
- Recently lost the County Line's motion to abate the §850 petition in favor of the Ventura County Quiet Title case. Filed a complaint in intervenor for Mr. Gonzalez as Trustee to intervene in the Quiet Title case.
- Is seeking to depose Howard Weinberg.

52. Attorney Michele Abernathy
    - Probate Attorney. Learned the facts surrounding and involving McClanahan, the La Tuna Canyon affair and Attorney Rhoades. Recently served Attorney Rhoades a demand to produce all documentation belonging to the Trust.
    - Rhoades refused two requests by Fed Ex letter and personal office visits.
    - Is in the process of an §850 regarding Pacific Coast Lessor, Inc., and Rufus Rhoades.
53. Attorney Charles S. Tiegerman, SBN: 47197
    - Estate Tax Attorney for Lee Mansdorf.
    - Got Caught by Mr. Gonzalez, as he called them on the fact of not filing multiple tax returns and not explaining in any tax return the movement of the La Tuna Canyon property out of the Trust in 1996.
54. Law Firm Brown & Streza
    - Trust Attorneys for Mansdorf's for 50 years.
    - Ensured trust documents were legally accurate.
55. Attorney John C. Torjesen, Esq., SBN141664
    - Attorney for Harry Mansdorf individually and as Trustee on the Quiet Title/Fraud case against Michele Giacomazza.
    - Later got the $2M bonus, then used that to get a $2M arbitration award, confirmed in Superior Court during his lawsuit against Harry, Jaime, Paul Orloff and Bromberg. Torjesen's judgment was "conditional".
    - He could only collect when development began and a loan was taken against the property, the property was sold, or the Trust's interests in the property was transferred.
    - Torjesen then claimed the Joint Ventura Agreement and Joint Tenancy Grant Deed[1] was a transfer of the property to Mr. Gonzalez, thus he began enforcement of his judgment.
    - However, when Mr. Gonzalez, (through Attorney Etingoff) filed the third party claim, Torjesen moved to and successfully defeated the claim.
    - Necessarily this defeated Torjesen's claim a condition of his conditional judgment had been satisfied – the property never transferred to Mr. Gonzalez as claimed in Torjesen's notice to begin enforcement.
    - Torjesen failed to record an abstract in Ventura County, yet still obtained the issuance of a Writ of Execution from Los Angeles County Superior Court to the Ventura County Sheriff in September – a month after Harry Mansdorf died.
    - Then the Sheriff recorded that writ in an effort to evidence a "levy" of the Malibu property. Obviously such claimed levy is "void". Worse, the writ only named 34 parcels of property, without providing a single legal description of any property.
    - The notice of sale was the same, as was the issued Sheriff's Deed. In response to the §850 petition and Probate Code §859 claims of double damages, Torjesen filed a demurrer rather than admit he unlawfully proceeded under the Enforcement of Judgments Law rather than submitting to the Probate Code and Trust Administration.

[1] With Harry Mansdorf as Trustee on one side, and Harry Mansdorf individually and Mr. Gonzalez individually on the other side

- More telling, Torjesen is literally waiving the $1.5M left on his judgment after receiving only $500K – where is Mr. Torjesen getting paid from then? The Malibu property, if they manage to complete their theft of it, perhaps?

56. Attorney Geoff Neri
    - Top of his class at Georgetown, friends with a former U.S. Attorney, he wants to come on board and be the Bar Number with the voice but has been out of contact for months.
    - He did lay the way with LA District Attorney, and they are waiting on the documents regarding McClanahan and others.

e) Additional People Involved Now:

57. Jose Chavez, Jr.
    - A disbarred attorney who put together the original 976 page complaint Jaime filed, Lee Mansdorf, (deceased) v. Giacomazza and 33 other defendants, including the City of Beverly Hills.
    - Chavez required Mr. Gonzalez to meet with him in Tijuana, claiming Moriarty had "a hit" out on him.
    - Mr. Gonzalez fired him shortly after, but he is still circling around and still works with Attorney Schweizer out of Downey, California.
    - Mr. Gonzalez spoke with him about a three months ago.
58. Attorney William Schweizer
    - Actually filed BC366206, *Lee Mansdorf*, (deceased) v. *Giacomazza* and 33 defendants, including the City of Beverly Hills.
59. Attorney Mark Hankins
    - Wanted to file a conservatorship for Harry Mansdorf. He brought in his "friend" who was going to be the conservator.
60. Attorney Newman
    - When Gonzalez tried to amend BC366206 to include Marylin Mansdorf, Gonzalez paid him $5k to sub in and amend the complaint – he did not.
61. Michele Giacomazza
    - Self-proclaimed mob affiliate sent in by Moriarty to murder Mildred and Norman, then steal the Mansdorf property from Harry.
    - Is believed to have murdered Norman Mansdorf and Mildred Mansdorf.
    - Is believed to have threatened to kill Judge Palmer, or at least have the threat transmitted to the courthouse.
    - Turned on Moriarty and transferred the Beverly Hills home and Malibu to himself and his daughter, his daughter then took out a $2.5M loan from Chevy Chase Bank against the house.
    - Abused Harry Mansdorf during the time Mr. Mansdorf was being sued by McClanahan.
    - Believe to have posed as Mr. Mansdorf, received the McClanahan summons and compliant, keeping such from Mr. Mansdorf.
    - He may have also kept other mail from Mr. Mansdorf regarding the suit, if in fact said mail was ever delivered by Attorney Sottile and Cacciatorie.
62. Kathryn R. Giacomazza
    - Michele Giacomazza's daughter.
    - She singed the loan app for the 2.5M loan against the Beverly Hills Mansion and was an integral part of the theft of Trust property from Harry Mansdorf.
63. Marc Bohbot
    - Owner of Alta Standard One, LLC; purchaser of Harry Mansdorf's interest in the Beverly Hills Mansion at the Sheriff's Sale.
    - He allegedly paid the $4.5M at the sale, and he made the checks out to "Sheriff Leroy D. Baca".

- Is believed to have connections with California Creative Capital Corp., an entity who appears to have stolen land in La Tuna Canyon.

64. Sheriff Leroy D. Baca
    - His name is on the $4.5M in checks.
    - The Sheriff's Deed was not issued until 35 days after the Sheriff's Sale.
    - Three weeks after the sale, the Sheriff informed Mr. Gonzalez they were waiting on a "wire" from County Line.
    - However, pursuant to the subpoenas filed in the Gonzalez v. County Line defamation-of-title case, the Sheriff produced "Cashier's Checks" dated the day of, the day after, and two days after the sale, and the County's bank claimed ***no information or documents***.
    - The immediate questions: 1) why did the Sheriff tell Mr. Gonzalez they were waiting on a wire three weeks after the sale when the checks came in within two days of the sale? 2) why did it take 35 days for the Sheriff's Deed to be issued? And 3) why did Bank of America, (LA County's bank for purposes of moving money from a sheriff's sale) have no evidence of any transaction?
    - It is believed Sheriff Baca and his team either floated the millions for over a month, or stole them entirely, leaving the $4.5M to be paid ***from the county's own money***.
    - When questions were asked of the County supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky and Joseph Charney Deputy to Supervisor Yaroslavsky, the response was:
      I spoke with the Los Angeles County's District Attorney's Real Estate Fraud Division about this matter. Assistant Head Deputy of the Division was well acquainted with it and advised that this litigation had been going on for many years.
      Apparently the dispute has run a lengthy and heavily litigated course. In any event, Mr. Baker pointed out that the fact the checks were made out to Sheriff Baca never was an issue, and that the payment was thereby made to LA County.
      In light of the above there does not appear anything our office will be able to do to assist you.
    - A response was propounded that things would now go to the federal level based upon the lack of assistance at the state level, and within days Sheriff Baca stepped down.
65. Attorney Howard Weinberg
    - Owner of County Line Holdings, LLC
    - Purchaser of Harry Mansdorf's interests in the "levied Assessor's Parcel Numbers" at the Sheriff's Sale.
    - Weinberg and Torjesen were the only bidders at the Sheriff's Sale, with Weinberg the successful bidder for $500,000.
    - Weinberg then added 7 pages of legal descriptions to the 3-page sheriff's deed after it was issued but prior to recording it.
    - Mr. Gonzalez, Mrs. Mansdorf, a former criminal investigator, and Attorney Orloff all filed Declarations/Affidavits requesting the criminal prosecution of Mr. Weinberg, filing such with the Ventura County Special Prosecution Unit in late February.
        - In the middle of May, 2014, Mr. Gonzalez had a conversation with Mr. Anthony Wold, the special prosecutor assigned to the case. Mr. Wold told Mr. Gonzalez they were declining to prosecute because Mr. Weinberg had a right to amend the deed to reflect what he thought he purchased.
66. "Joe" Yousef Mikhail
    - Currently preparing land in La Tuna for home building.
    - The land is believed to have been stolen from the Mansdorf Family Trust.
    - Paid Attorney Etingoff to represent Mr. Gonzalez in the Third Party Claim proceeding and in the Quiet Title Case filed in Santa Monica.
67. Taimoor Bidari
    - Business associate with Joe, formed Double Oaks Trust to hold property.

- Currently preparing land in La Tuna for home building.
- The land is believed to have been stolen from the Mansdorf Family Trust.
- Paid Attorney Etingoff to represent Mr. Gonzalez in the Third Party Claim proceeding and in the Quiet Title Case filed in Santa Monica.

68. Joseph R. Semmelroth
    - On the 1986 Grant Deed from Lee Mansdorf regarding Lot 2 of Section 26 in La Tuna Canyon – the entrance to Joe & Taimoor's property.
    - The deed was notarized by Renata J. Reich, formerly Renata J. Mares, a notary proven to have fraudulently notarized the alleged agreement between Lee Mansdorf and Michele Giacomazza.
69. The individuals and entities in the chain of title to Trust property Joe & Taimoor cut into.
70. The individuals and entities involved in the chain of title to the four McClanahan parcels.
71. Joe Edmiston
    - The Executive Director of the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, established by the state Legislature in 1980
    - He is known for his high-profile acquisitions and aggressive conservation tactics.
    - He is believed to have worked with Whitebird, Richard Percell and others to create hybrid agency, the "Desert Mountains Conservation Authority," and use this new agency to accept the stolen 650 acres from Whitebird, Inc.
    - The reason: the Desert Mountains Conservation Authority was *created* with more ability to sell the 650 acres LATER, unlike the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy.
    - This is why Joe created this third agency to hold the property.
    - This is why Joe's activities require immediate investigation from an "official corruption" position.

f) Extras:

72. Paul Pearlson
    - Lead attorney representing Marylin Mansdorf in her case against Rufus and Harry Mansdorf.
    - According to Marylin, Paul gave her the box of documents which Marylin later gave to Mr. Gonzalez just before she was murdered.
    - In that box were numerous documents containing proof against Rufus Rhoades, including the Walkemplue trust document *un-redacted*, proving that Susan Rhoades was the trustee.
    - Also included were a majority of documents from the escrows in 1996.
73. Los Angeles County Tax Collector
    - Already convicted for fraud, many of the La Tuna canyon parcel transfers match the M.O. of the convicted tax collector's fraudulent scheme, the dates match the time he was in charge, but no investigation or charges regarding the same ever happened.

ii. ENTITIES

1. Del Rio Development, Inc.
    - Never a real company.
    - The vehicle for the theft of La Tuna.
2. La Tuna Properties, LTD
    - Real, still active and owned by Moriarty.
    - The La Tuna property went in and out of this company while Lee was trying to stop the trustee sales of La Tuna back in 1981-82.
3. AFF Partnership #2816A
    - Bogus company Del Rio encumbered La Tuna property with.
4. AFF Partnership #2816B

- Bogus company Del Rio encumbered La Tuna property with.

5. AFF Partnership #2995
    - Bogus company Del Rio encumbered La Tuna property with.
6. Protective Equity Trust #92
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981 for $310,000.
7. Protective Equity Trust #93
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981 for $400,000.
8. Protective Equity Trust #94
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981 for $400,000.
    - Transferred property to Guillermo (Bill) Morgan in 1996, who then transferred WAY more than he received to Whitebird, Inc.
9. Protective Equity Trust #95
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981.
    - Transferred property to Whitebird in 1996
10. Protective Equity Trust #96
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981 for $450,000.
    - Transferred property to Whitebird in 1996
11. Protective Equity Trust #97
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981.
    - Transferred property to Whitebird in 1996
12. Protective Equity Trust #104
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981.
    - Transferred property to Whitebird in 1996
13. Protective Equity Trust #105
    - Real, private company put together with private money, used by Del Rio to encumber the La Tuna property in 1981.
    - Transferred property to Whitebird in 1996
14. General Securities Corporation
    - The General Partner in all of the Protective Equity Trust companies.
    - The limited partners were made up of individuals and entities.
15. First Liberty Financial, LLC
    - The parent company who structured the General Securities Corporation/Protective Equity Trust operations.
    - Was a defendant in the *Lee Mansdorf v. Leon Brukman* case.
16. California Canadian Bank
    - FDIC insured bank which Moriarty and Henry L. Bear took a loan from under La Tuna Properties, LTD, then Moriarty and Bear signed a Deed of Trust from Del Rio to secure the note.
17. Llyods Bank California
    - FDIC insured bank which Brukman (Moriarty) took a loan from using Del Rio, LA County Recorder Instrument No. 81-957163.
18. Whitebird, Inc.

- Nevada State Corporation used to steal the Mansdorf property in 1996 and the current name on the 221 home development project.

19. Snowball, Inc.
    - Connected to Whitebird through the individuals running this shit, obtained money from Whitebird or persons involved, which they in turn had obtained through taking loans out on Mansdorf property.
    - They own the Verdugo Hills Golf Course.
20. The Walkemplue Trust
    - An alleged trust Susan Rhoades was the Trustee of.
    - Beneficiaries are unknown, as Rufus Rhoades refused to disclose this information, even when being questioned in a deposition, under oath.
    - Money paid to Lee Mansdorf, through Pam Land Co or the Trust during the 1996 Escrow was split up, with $850,000 going to the Walkemplue Trust.
21. Pacific Coast Lessor Inc.
    - Company started by Lee Mansdorf to lease the land to the Cell Tower companies, for filming rights and other such uses for the Malibu and La Tuna property.
    - When Lee Mansdorf died, Rufus Rhoades simply added his wife on as Secretary and removed Lee Mansdorf.
    - Probate Attorneys are preparing an 850 petition to be filed on all assets of Pacific Coast Lessor.
    - There was also a court case already, wherein AT&T had deposited 70K in court, which Rufus Rhoades ended up receiving due to malpractice from Attorney John C. Torjesen, the attorney representing Harry Mansdorf at the time.
22. Duke Development, Inc.
    - A miscellaneous development company who was attempting to put a road in across three parcels, one of which is at issue in the McClanahan case.
23. Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy
    - Run by Joe Edmiston and 11 other people, two of which are appointed by the Governor of California.
    - These 12 have to "agree" to give the property back using the lobbyist, *according to the lobbyist.* Several times the lobbyist has explained that money can fix this problem.
    - These 12 will have to ask the state for money to fight the 850 we shall be filing, with none going to their pockets.
24. Desert Mountains Conservation Authority
    - Sub-entity created by Joe Edmiston and others to hold the 650 acres Whitebird stole from the Mansdorf Family and gave to the DMCA.
    - Is the direct subject of the upcoming 850 petition.
    - http://dmca.ca.gov
    - Appears to be a governmental front, with no actual activity in the EIGHT years since its creation, *other than accepting the stolen 650 acres.*
25. Chevy Chase Bank, FSB
    - They paid the taxes on the Beverly Hills home through the Giacomazza $2.5M fraudulent loan, and obtained judgment solely in the tax amount against Harry Mansdorf.
    - The total Judgment Claimed in their recent Writ of Sale is $196,498.08 plus $47,431.56 in interest, plus $25.00 for issuance of the writ for a Grant Total of: $243,954.64, with a stated $53.76 in interest per day.
    - However, the county issued a slew of refunds based upon Giacomazza's fraud causing inaccurate tax payment demands to be made. Total In Refunds: $111,358.47.

- Based upon the timing of these refunds, Actual Debt based upon accurate accounting of all refunds received from the Los Angeles County Auditor's Office is only $26,165.24 with 7.16 in daily interest.
- These facts must be brought before a court or worked out directly with Chevy Chase Bank.

C. NAMES OF THE TRUST THAT HAVE BEEN USED, (ALBEIT WRONGFULLY)

1. THE MANSDORF FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST dated AUGUST 31, 1967, (this is the only correct way to state the Trust);
2. THE MANSDORF FAMILY TRUST dated AUGUST 31, 1967;
3. THE MANSDORF FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST;
4. THE MANSDORF FAMILY TRUST;
5. the MANSDORF TRUST;
6. the LEE MANSDORF FAMILY TRUST;
7. the DECLARATION OF TRUST dated AUGUST 31, 1967 as restated AUGUST 16, 1976 for the benefit of the MANSDORF FAMILY;
8. U.D.T. dated AUGUST 31, 1967 as restated AUGUST 16, 1976;
9. F.B.O. the MANSDORF FAMILY.

D. PENDING LEGAL CASES

1. ***Janice McClanahan v. Harry Mansdorf*, LA County Superior Court BC363659**
   - $12M default judgment case
   - Exhausted all remedies on the state level with two CCP § 473(d) motions, the second appealed up to the State Supreme Court.
   - Appeal was filed and ten days later Harry Mansdorf passed away. Case been open for a year without probate.
   - Jaime and Linda were appointed co-executors of the Estate of Harry Mansdorf in the Probate Court and substituted in as the Appellants on the Appeal.
   - Appeal denied August 12, 2014.
   - Petition for Review to Supreme Court denied October 29, 2014.
   - Draft Complaint in Equity to Federal Court to Vacate/Set Aside the McClanahan judgment.
   - Remittitur issued 11/7/2014
   - Requires a Federal Attorney.

2. ***Alta Standard One, LLC, v. Jaime DeJesus Gonzalez and Linda Mansdorf,*** LA Limited Civil Case No. 13R00769 (renumbered 13U00769); Appeal Case No. BV030652
   - Unlawful Detainer Case, Beverly Hills home
   - Lost in the lower court, writ of possession issued
   - Writ of possession stayed with $10,000 per month payments
   - On appeal, Appellate Division of Superior Court, Case No. BV030652
   - Opinion affirming judgment issued December 8, 2014
   - Status: Petition for Transfer to the Court of Appeals GRANTED January 28, 2015. Opening Brief due February 27, 2015.
   - Paul Orloff is current counsel, but this case requires an appellate specialist.

3. ***Gonzalez v. Alta Standard One, LLC***, LA Superior Court, Case No. BC506777.
   - Defamation of Title and Declaratory Judgment, for Alta Standard One, LLC recording the Sheriff's Deed against the Beverly Hills home.

- Status: Alta Standard One, LLC filed a demurrer to Jaime's complaint and that demurrer was granted without leave to amend on November 22, 2013.
- The judge claimed Res Judicata. Notice of Appeal filed January 17, 2014.
- Record designated but missing judgment.
- Notice of Omission filed December 10, 2014.
- December 19, 2014, the court stayed all briefing until the clerk transmits the Judgment to the Court of appeals (a "complete" record).
- Brief complete and set to be filed today or tomorrow.
- Paul Orloff is current counsel, but this case requires an appellate specialist.

4. ***Probate of the Estate of Harry Mansdorf***, LA Superior Court Case No. BP146138.
- Status: Pending. Harry's Estate had no assets and Harry's will was a "pour over" will, with all remaining assets of Harry at his death poured over into the Trust.
- This case provides the standing of Jaime and Linda in the appeal of the $12M Default Judgment by McClanahan.
- **Being Handled by the Probate Attorneys.**

5. ***Probate of the Estate of the Mansdorf Family Revocable Trust, dated August 31, 1967***, Case No. BP147495.
- Opened with the filing of the 850 Petition on the Malibu Property on December 6, 2013.
- Defendants – County Line Holdings, LLC & Howard Weinberg; Torjesen & Associates & John C. Torjesen.
- County Line and Torjesen filed a Demurrer, County Line filed a Motion for Abatement. Hearing is set for March 27, 2014.
- Hearing on the 850 Petition was had, judge "abated" the case pending disposition of the Ventura County Quiet Title case pending.
- **Being Handled by the Probate Attorneys.**

6. ***County Line Holdings, LLC, v. Jaime DeJesus Gonzalez***, Ventura County Case No. 56-2013-00444799-CU-OR-VTA, (Quiet Title on Malibu)
- Filed November 15, 2013, sub-served on Jaime's mom on December 10.
- Demurrer filed January 9, 2014, and the hearing held February 21, 2014.
- Result – demurrer denied on February 21, 2014
- Reconsideration denied on April 18, 2014.
- Filed a complaint in intervention in the Quiet Title case by County Line Holdings, LLC against Jaime Gonzalez, individually, and a host of others.
- Deposition of Howard Weinberg complete
- Deposition of John C. Torjesen complete
- Motion for summary adjudication filed already and the hearing set for February 21st 2015.
- Deposition of sheriff noticed on week of December 22-28.
- Criminal case was declined on Howard Weinberg for forging the Sheriff's Deed.
- Another package is being put together based upon the deposition testimony of Torjesen and Weinberg and the one soon to be taken from the Sheriff
- Prosecutor on notice an F.B.I. investigation will be initiated if he does not do his job.
- Paul Orloff is still on the case – need a new attorney.

7. **Sought a criminal case –Against Howard Weinberg, forgery, fraud, perjury, attempted grand theft. Case Number available and is being requested through the public records act. They**

<u>chose not to prosecute late May, 2014. We have a new package which include the depositions from Weinberg & Torjesen and emails between the two.</u>

<u>ISSUES AND EVENTS BROKEN DOWN INTO SECTIONS</u>

1. United States District Court, Central District of California, No. CV 74-1613-RJR; *Lee Mansdorf v. United States of America,* dated April 13, 1976, recorded February 27, 1980, Los Angeles County Recorder's Office, [QUIET TITLE]

2. Moriarty/Brukman/Tompkins scam tricking Lee into transferring La Tuna property into a non-existent corporation named "Del Rio Development, Inc., a California Corporation."

3. Moriarty/Brukman and Bear fraudulently encumbering the La Tuan Canyon property with loans from PET 92, 93, 94, 95, 97, 102, 104 and 105, AFF Partnership #2815 and #2816.

4. May 10, 1983, Deed of Trust signed by Moriarty and Bear on behalf of Del Rio, in favor of California Canadian Bank.

5. The first Del Rio bankruptcy, Case No. Case No. 82-05672, (to include BAP Case No CC 86-2034JMoV), Filed April 7, 1982, Dismissed November 29, 1984, effective April 1, 1985, dismissal vacated February 21, 1986, dismissed again October 28, 1986, Closed January 22, 1996.

6. The lawsuit by Lee Mansdorf against Leon Brukman (Moriarty) –*Lee Mansdorf As Trustee Of The Mansdorf Family Trust vs. Leon Brukman* Case No. C380121, Filed August 27, 1981, Dismissed ___.

7. The lawsuit by Tompkins against Lee Mansdorf – Tompkins v. Mansdorf, LASC Case No. C529905, (lower Court), B040918, Court of Appeals, and S016527, Supreme Court.

8. The involuntary bankruptcy against W. Patrick Moriarty – Case No. LA84-22868, Filed November 24, 1984, Dismissed ___.

9. The second bankruptcy of Del Rio, Case No. 86-23967-LP, Filed December 22, 1986, No Asset Report by Trustee issued November 18, 1987. Employer Identification Number of 95-3479828 was provided for Del Rio, along with a start date of January 15, 1981.

10. The AFF Partnership, Keith Card, (Richard Raymond Keith), Janice McClanahan, Duke Development and Lee Mansdorf as Trustee transfers.

11. The 1996 transfers involving Protective Equity Trust, Guillermo (Bill) Morgan, Whitebird, Rufus & Susan Rhoades, Walkemplue Trust, Pam Land Co. Lee Mansdorf.

12. The 1997 transfer to Peter J. Gybons.

13. The 2002 Reconveyance from Department of Transportation, including the 1996 Escrow File.

14. The 2003 transfers involving Harry Mansdorf, the "Lee Mansdorf Family Trust dated July, 2001," Janice McClanahan; Whitebird, Inc.; Glen McMilin and the Chalkeys.

15. The lawsuit by Marylin Mansdorf v. Rufus Rhoades, Harry Mansdorf, etc., Case No. BC316011, Filed May 24, 2004, Dismissed March 24, 2006.

16. The lawsuit written by Tony Ramos aka Jose Chavez, Jr., (SBN 91635), [case name]; LASC Case No. BC366206, Filed February 13, 2006, Dismissed the same day, with additional filings up to November 20, 2008.

17. *New Cingular Wireless vs. Pacific Coast Lessor*, Case No. CIV245312, Filed on December, 14, 2006, dismissed ___.

18. The 2006 Janice McClanahan Fraudulent Lawsuit, Case No. BC363659; Filed December 18, 2006, Judgment entered January 23, 2008.

19. The "*Lee Mansdorf v. Michele Giacomazza, Et. Al.*," Lawsuit, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC366206, Filed February 13, 2007, Dismissed January 31, 2008.

20. Tony Ramos aka Jose Chavez, Jr., (SBN 91635) wrote the BC366206 lawsuit; William G. Schweizer (SBN 68546) filed the lawsuit.

21. Issues with the Beverly Hills Police Department and Giacomazza murdering Norman and Mildred.

22. February 22nd, 2008, Mr. Gonzalez took Harry to the Los Angeles Superior Court to file LASC Case No BC385946 – fraud and elder abuse against Giacomazza. Judge Charles Palmer's August 3, 2009, Statement of Decision.

23. The Giacomazza lawsuit, Case No. BC385946, filed November 2007, judgment rendered January 6, 2010.

24. The Giacomazza appeal on Case No. BC385946. Second District Court of Appeals Division 1 Judge Robert Johnson, considered the suspicious circumstances surrounding both of Harry's sibling's death's, and asked Giacomazza's attorney Gerald Philip Peters (SBN 101212); "Isn't it interesting timing of the deaths of the siblings in coordination with Mr. Giacomazza's presence at the house?"

25. *Michele Giacomazza vs. Harry Mansdorf*, Case No. SCO98221, (Unlawful Detainer), filed on May 15, 2008, dismissed on February 24, 2010.

26. The Torjesen Lawsuit, Case No. BC449460, *John C. Torjesen vs. Harry Mansdorf Et. Al*, Filed ___, Judgment affirming arbitration award on ___.

27. *Rufus Rhoades vs. Harry Mansdorf*, Ventura County Superior Court Case No. 56-2007-00308708-CU-BC-VTA, filed December 6th, 2008

28. First CCP §473(b) Appeal of Janice McClanahan $12M Default Judgment and denial by Judge Kahn.

29. The Sheriff's Sale on the Beverly Hills Home after death of Harry Mansdorf.

30. The Third Party Claim Proceeding on Torjesen's Enforcement of Judgment Actions, Filed March 18, 2013, Dismissed April 15, 2013. Attorney Etingoff filed two different versions of the deed. None of Gonzalez's attorneys appealed. Notice of Entry of Order June 19, 2013.

31. The 473(d) Motion to Vacate the Janice McClanahan default Judgment, Filed April 23, 2013. June 21, 2013, Judge Mary H. Strobel ruled this case unrelated to the Unlawful Detainer Case, (No. 13U00769/13R00769), by Alta Standard One, LLC, against Jaime Gonzalez and Linda Mansdorf. August 8, 2013, Mary H. Strobel recused herself due to her marriage to David M. Marcus, the attorney for McClanahan who sought and

received an order to sell the Beverly Hills Home from Judge Kahn. November 22, 2013, the demurrer of Alta Standard One, LLC, to Gonzalez's complaint was Sustained Without Leave To Amend.

32. The Sheriff's Sale on Malibu and Fraudulent Actions of Weinberg in forging the Sheriff's Deed.

33. The Unlawful Detainer Case by Alta Standard One, LLC, Case No. 13U00769 (renumbered 13R00769), Filed February 22, 2013, Writ of Possession Issued June 14, 2013, Appeal pending under LASC Appellate Department Case No. BV030652.

34. The Complaint to Quiet Title by Jaime Gonzalez with attorney Elaine Etingoff, filed February 5, 2013, voluntarily dismissed April 11, 2013.

35. The Complaint for Slander of Title by Jaime Gonzalez with Attorney Paul Orloff. BC506777, Filed April 23. 2013. Demurrer Sustained Without Leave To Amend: November 22, 2013, reduced to Judgment on July 3, 2014.

36. The Appeal of the denial of the CCP §473(d) motion, denied August 12, 2014. Petition for Review to the Supreme Court denied.

37. Estate of Harry Mansdorf – claim filed by McClanahan; claim filed by Quinn-Emmanuel; claim by Kevin MacNamara.

38. Petition under Probate Code §850 regarding Malibu, Case No. BP147495. Filed December 6, 2013, Abated (stayed) on ___.

39. Civil Complaint to Quiet Title to Malibu by County Line Holdings, LLC, (Howard Weinberg), Case No. 56-2013-00444799-CU-OR-VTA, Filed November 15, 2013. Status: Still Pending.

40. Affidavit in Support of Request for Criminal Prosecution of Howard Weinberg. Filed ___. Prosecutor Declined to Prosecute on ___.

41. Adult Protective Services complaints for fraud and financial Elder Abuse (have been drafted and filed. These are pending now, awaiting a response):

    a. McClanahan, Cacciatorie, Sottile
    b. Torjesen, Weinberg & County Line Holdings
    c. Bohbot & Alta Standard One
    d. Rufus Rhoades & Susan P. Rhoades

42. Declarations Requesting Criminal Prosecution

43. Bar Association Complaints:

    a. Rhoades (draft, 40% complete)
    b. Keep (0% complete)
    c. Mullen (0% complete)
    d. Bromberg (0% complete)
    e. MacNamara (0% complete)
    f. Etingoff (lawsuit for Legal Malpractice pending, demurrer to legal malpractice claim *overruled, February 4, 2015.*
    g. Affeld (0% complete)

h. Beck (0% complete)
i. Weinberg (50% complete)
j. Torjesen (50% complete)
k. Cacciatore (100% complete)
l. Sottile (100% complete)
m. Foster (100% complete)
n. Leonard (100% complete)

44. Judicial Misconduct Complaints:
a. Feffer (50% complete)
b. Argento (100% complete)
c. Shook (100% complete)
d. Kahn (20% complete)
e. Kuhl (0% complete)
f. Strobel (20% complete)
g. White (0% complete)

Ancillary Civil Cases:
San Fernando Superior Court Case No PC00020, *Bill Morgan vs. Harry Mansdorf Et. Al.*

Orange County Superior Court Case No. 433001, *Imperial Canadian Bank vs. W. Patrick Moriarty Et Al.*

IDENTIFYING THE ACTIONS OF ATTORNEYS INVOLVED

1. United States District Court, Central District of California, No. CV 74-1613-RJR; *Lee Mansdorf v. United States of America*, dated April 13, 1976, recorded February 27, 1980, Los Angeles County Recorder's Office, [QUIET TITLE]
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:

2. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. C380-121; *Mansdorf v. Brukman;*
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN:31525
Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998

3. U.S. District Bankruptcy Court Case No. LA 82-05672 –LF, *In Re Del Rio Development, Inc.*-Original Chapter 11, reopened as Chapter 7, [Brukman, Mansdorf & Del Rio Development,] [Bear, Moneymaker & Rhoades], filed April 7, 1982, Dismissed October 28, 1986, Closed January 22, 1996 - Corporation Voluntary
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN:31525
Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998
Henry L. Bear, SBN 19789 (deceased)
Henry L. Bear was representing Lee Mansdorf in federal bankruptcy court on the bankruptcy of Del Rio Development, Inc., while at the same time, Attorney Bear was a co-conspirator of W. Patrick Moriarty.

November 29, 1984, Judge Elliott ordered the case dismissed, effective April 1, 1985. January 22, 1986, Del Rio sought relief from the dismissal – more than one year after the Elliott order was entered but less than a year after the effective date of the dismissal.
February 1986, the court vacated the dismissal order of November 29, 1984, (made effective April 1, 1985), and reinstated the bankruptcy, effective, November 29, 1984.

October 1986, the court vacated the February 1986 order (which vacated the dismissal order of November 29, 1984 and reinstated the bankruptcy), and the bankruptcy was again considered “dismissed” as the original November 29, 1984 Elliott order stated.

4. BAP Decision One, Case No. CC-82-1506, December 29, 1983 (LA 82-05672-RO)
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN:31525

5. BAP Decision Two - XXX
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN:31525

6. BAP Decision Three – CC-86-2034JMoV
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN 31525

7. BAP Decision Four, No. 86-2034JMoV – Filed Oct 22, 1987

8. California Appellate Court Case No. B040918, *Susan Tompkins v. Lee Mansdorf* - filed January 11, 1985, (appeal from Superior Court Case No. C-529905);
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:

9. U.S. District Bankruptcy Court Case No. LA 84-22868, *In re W. Patrick Moriarty*, filed November 21, 1984 - Involuntary Bankruptcy –[Mansdorf & Garcia] [Rhoades & Shapira]
Attorney(s) for Lee Mansdorf:
Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998

10. U.S. District Bankruptcy Court Case No. CA 86-23967, *In re Del Rio Development, Inc.*, Voluntary Petition, Chapter 7, [Moriarty, Hofman & Del Rio Development]
Attorney(s) for Del Rio Development:
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN 31525

11. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC316011; *Marlyin Mansdorf v. Harry Mansdorf*,(Court of Appeals No. B186672)
Attorney(s) for Harry Mansdorf:

12. California Probate Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BP084500, *the Estate of Lee Mansdorf*
Attorney(s) for Harry Mansdorf:

13. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC 385946; *Harry Mansdorf* v. *Michelle Giacomazza*, (Court of Appeals, B222759)
Attorney(s) for Harry Mansdorf:

14. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC363659; *McClanahan v. Mansdorf* {1} (Emotional Distress, 12M default)(Court of Appeals, First Appeal B224466, Second Appeal
Attorney(s) for Harry Mansdorf:

15. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC389522; *McClanahan v. Mansdorf* (2) (Fraudulent Transfer), filed April 23, 2008,
Attorney(s) for Harry Mansdorf:

16. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC425880, *Torjesen v. Mansdorf,* (Court of Appeals, No. B240374) (ARBITRATION, CONFIRMATION, SALE)

Attorney(s) for Harry Mansdorf:

Attorney(s) for Jaime DeJesus Gonzalez:

Elaine Diane Etingoff, SBN 89113, November 1979, Los Angeles

Attorney Etingoff created the Third Party Claim filings with a discrepancy to the point of being a fundamental flaw in the exhibited documents. She then failed to craft a response to Attorney Torjesen's Petition to Invalidate Mr. Gonzalez's claim. A declaration from Mrs. Linda Mansdorf was provided in response, but this did not answer the discrepancy in the documents Attorney Etingoff submitted with the Third Party Claim. The factual issue in the pleadings was the discrepancy between the exhibit in the Joint Venture Agreement grant deed and the exhibit in the recorded Grant Deed(s). Mr. Gonzalez was forced to defend himself, and at the hearing, Attorney Torjesen's representative argued that the Grant Deed recorded in Los Angeles County was different than the Grant Deed recorded in Ventura County. Mr. Gonzalez presented the recorded deeds from Los Angeles and Ventura counties at the hearing because the argument had now changed. Ultimately, the Court invalidated Mr. Gonzalez's third party claim based upon the unexplained discrepancy in the Joint Tenancy Grant Deed exhibit and the exhibit to the Grant Deeds recorded in LA and Ventura counties.

<u>Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey</u>

Attorney Orloff was representing Mr. Gonzalez individually and as Trustee of the Trust on separate matters at the same time. Attorney Orloff failed to file a notice of appeal of the Ruling Invalidating Mr. Gonzalez's Third Party Claim. Attorney Orloff informed Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling "did not matter" because the only "thing" at issue was the rights, title and interest of Harry Mansdorf in the Malibu Property, thus, Mr. Gonzalez had a "first" party claim to the property, not a third party claim. Attorney Orloff failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Orloff failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal.

17. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC494460, *Gonzalez v. Alta Standard One*, (Quiet Title) [VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED]

Attorneys for Jaime Gonzalez:

Elaine Diane Etingoff, SBN 89113, November 1979, Los Angeles

Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey

18. California Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. 506777, *Gonzalez v. Alta Standard One –* (Defamation of Title)

Attorneys for Jaime Gonzalez:

Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey

<u>David William Affeld, Esq., SBN 123922, August 1986, Los Angeles</u>

Attorney Affeld failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Affeld failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim.

<u>Loren Jay Beck, Esq., SBN 241610, February 2006, Los Angeles</u>

Attorney Beck failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Beck failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim.

19. California District Court of Los Angeles Case No. 13U00769, *Alta Standard One v. Gonzalez & Linda Mansdorf,* (Unlawful Detainer) [PENDING ON APPEAL, Case No. XXX]

Attorneys for Jaime Gonzalez:

Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey
<u>David William Affeld, Esq., SBN 123922, August 1986, Los Angeles</u>
Attorney Affeld failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Affeld failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim. Failed to correctly argue the Elizabeth White ruling on the Third Party Claim is not res judicata on the Beverly Hills home issue.

<u>Loren Jay Beck, Esq., SBN 241610, February 2006, Los Angeles</u>
Attorney Beck failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Beck failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim.
Failed to correctly argue the Elizabeth White ruling on the Third Party Claim is not res judicata on the Beverly Hills home issue.

<u>ATTORNEYS FOR LEE MANSDORF:</u>
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN 31525, January 26, 1961, Los Angeles - UC Berkeley
Henry L. Bear, SBN 19789, January 1948, Downey, (Deceased) – Partner of W. Patrick Moriarty
Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998, June 1962, Pasadena

<u>ATTORNEYS FOR HARRY MANSDORF:</u>
Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998, June 1962, Pasadena
Richard Fine, SBN 55259, May 1973, Beverly Hills (Disbarred)
Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey
Ari Lorin Markow, SBN 186342, December 1996, Encino
Kevin Gary MacNamara, SBN 279691, December 2011, Orange
Daniel Howard Bromberg, SBN 242659, June 2006, Redwood Shores
John Robert Mullen, SBN 137271, December 1988, Laguna Hills
Timothy A. Butler, SBN 262962, May 2009, Atlanta

<u>ATTORNEYS FOR THE MANSDORF FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED AUGUST 31, 1967</u>
Richard M. Moneymaker, SBN 31525, January 26, 1961, Los Angeles - UC Berkeley
Rufus Von Thulen Rhoades, SBN 32998, June 1962, Pasadena
Richard Fine, SBN 55259, May 1973, Beverly Hills (Disbarred)
Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey
Ari Lorin Markow, SBN 186342, December 1996, Encino
Kevin Gary MacNamara, SBN 279691, December 2011, Orange
Daniel Howard Bromberg, SBN 242659, June 2006, Redwood Shores
John Robert Mullen, SBN 137271, December 1988, Laguna Hills
Timothy A. Butler, SBN 262962, May 2009, Atlanta
David William Affeld, Esq., SBN 123922, August 1986, Los Angeles
Loren Jay Beck, Esq., SBN 241610, February 2006, Los Angeles
Henry H. Dearing, SBN 60946, December 20, 1974, Los Angeles, Boston Univ. SOL
Michele L. Abernathy, SBN 180445, December 13, 1995, Los Angeles, Pepperdine Univ.

<u>ATTORNEYS FOR LINDA MANSDORF:</u>
Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey
Kevin Gary MacNamara, SBN 279691, December 2011, Orange
David William Affeld, Esq., SBN 123922, August 1986, Los Angeles
Loren Jay Beck, Esq., SBN 241610, February 2006, Los Angeles

Henry H. Dearing, SBN 60946, December 20, 1974, Los Angeles, Boston Univ. SOL
Michele L. Abernathy, SBN 180445, December 13, 1995, Los Angeles, Pepperdine Univ.

ATTORNEYS FOR JAIME DEJESUS GONZALEZ
Richard Fine, SBN 55259, May 1973, Beverly Hills (Disbarred)
Attorney Fine provided legal advice, drafted legal pleadings, employed and directed legal strategies of several LASC Case Nos. Mr. Fine is the individual who directed Mr. Gonzalez to record the Affidavit of Death of Joint Tenant and Grant Deed documents, evidencing Harry as Trustee's Grant of the Malibu and Beverly Hills property to Harry Mansdorf and Jaime Gonzalez individually, in joint tenancy, with rights of survivorship.
Paul Martin Orloff, Esq., SBN 216791, December 2001, Downey
Ari Lorin Markow, SBN 186342, December 1996, Encino
Kevin Gary MacNamara, SBN 279691, December 2011, Orange
Daniel Howard Bromberg, SBN 242659, June 2006, Redwood Shores
John Robert Mullen, SBN 137271, December 1988, Laguna Hills
Timothy A. Butler, SBN 262962, May 2009, Atlanta
David William Affeld, Esq., SBN 123922, August 1986, Los Angeles
Attorney Affeld failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Affeld failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim.
Loren Jay Beck, Esq., SBN 241610, February 2006, Los Angeles
Attorney Beck failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez that the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim would act as res judicata, barring Mr. Gonzalez from asserting his property ownership rights in any other venue. Attorney Beck failed to inform Mr. Gonzalez of the true consequences of his failure to appeal the ruling invalidating his Third Party Claim.
Henry H. Dearing, SBN 60946, December 20, 1974, Los Angeles, Boston Univ. SOL
Michele L. Abernathy, SBN 180445, December 13, 1995, Los Angeles, Pepperdine Univ.

LIST OF POTENTIAL CRIMINAL ACTS

Federal:

Abusing any apparent legal authority or "color of law" to deprive any person of any rights under a sovereign Constitution or any other laws (18 USC 242).

Conspiring to violate or interfere with any rights under the Constitution or other laws, by injury (including property loss), oppression, threat or intimidation (18 USC 241).

"Racketeering" (organized crime) as interference with commerce under color of official authority (18 USC 1951(a), 1951(b)(2), (Chapter 95)), or conspiring for racketeering (18 USC 1951(a)).

"Racketeering", by patterns of bribery or extortion, or related to financial institutions (18 USC 1961(1)(a)).
Retaliation against a victim or witness (18 USC 1513(e)(1)), or conspiring for retaliation (18 USC 1513(e)(2)).
Tampering with victims or witnesses by intimidation or misleading conduct, to interfere with reporting crimes to law enforcement (18 USC 1512(b)).

Bribe taking as official conflict of interest for anything of value including indirect or promised future benefits (18 USC 201(b)(2)).

Fraud by exceeding authorized access to any computer to obtain anything of value (18 USC 1030(a)(4)), or trafficking in any password or access code for a computer that affects interstate or foreign commerce (18 USC

1030(a)(6)(b)), and with any computer used by or for a financial institution, “including a computer located outside the US that… affects interstate or foreign commerce of the US”. (18 USC 1030(e)(2)).

Fraudulent making of any false entry or transaction in any banking institution including a foreign bank that would injure any organization, company or individual (18 USC 1005).

Fraud or devising any means to defraud, or seeking to obtain money or property by false pretenses or misrepresentation, by any “wire” (telephone or internet) transmission in interstate or foreign commerce (18 USC 1343).

Fraud or attempted fraud to obtain any funds or assets under custody or control of a financial institution by false pretenses or misrepresentation (18 USC 1344).

Conspiracy or attempt to commit any fraud, subject to same penalties and punishment as for the primary offense (18 USC 1349).

State:
PENAL CODE §115(A)
Procuring/Offering False/Forged Document For Recording
PENAL CODE §368(D)
Financial Abuse Of The Elderly Or Dependent Adult By A Person, Not A Caretaker
PENAL CODE §470
Forgery: Documents
PENAL CODE §487(A)
Grand Theft By False Pretenses
PENAL CODE §532(A)
Theft By False Pretenses